

# Cardinal functions $F_\theta(X)$ and $t_\theta(X)$ for H-closed spaces

*Filippo Cammaroto   Andrei Catalioto   Jack Porter*

camfil@unime.it   acatalioto@unime.it   porter@math.ku.edu

November 4, 2011

## Abstract

In this article, starting with results from a paper by Cammaroto and Kočinac in 1993, we prove that  $t_\theta(X) = t_\theta(X_s) = t(X_s) = F_\theta(X) = F_\theta(X_s) = F(X_s)$  for every H-closed and Urysohn space and we present some useful examples to study the full relationships among the cardinal functions  $t$ ,  $t_\theta$ ,  $F$  and  $F_\theta$  in the context of H-closed and Urysohn spaces. Also, we construct an H-closed space  $H$  for which  $F_\theta(H) < t_\theta(H)$ . Two questions posed by Cammaroto and Kočinac about H-closed spaces are answered.

**Keywords:** *closure,  $\theta$ -closure, free sequence,  $\theta$ -free sequence,  $t(X)$ ,  $t_\theta(X)$ ,  $F(X)$ ,  $F_\theta(X)$ , compact spaces, H-closed spaces, Urysohn spaces.*

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 54A25, 54D10, 54D25, 54D35, 54G20.

## 1 Introduction

In 1979, A.V. Arhangel'skiĭ proved that  $F(X) = t(X)$  for every compact Hausdorff space  $X$  ([1]). Also, in 1993 F. Cammaroto and Lj.D. Kočinac showed that  $F_\theta(X) \leq t_\theta(X)$  for every H-closed space  $X$  ([3]) and asked if the converse can be true for H-closed (or H-closed Urysohn) spaces.

We give a positive answer to their question for H-closed and Urysohn spaces showing that  $t_\theta(X) = t_\theta(X_s) = t(X_s) = F_\theta(X) = F_\theta(X_s) = F(X_s)$  and we provide an example of H-closed space  $H$  for which  $F_\theta(H) < t_\theta(H)$ .

Moreover, we provide examples that demonstrate all of the inequalities possibilities among the cardinal invariants  $t$ ,  $t_\theta$ ,  $F$  and  $F_\theta$  for H-closed Urysohn spaces. Some of these spaces are modifications of the well known Cantor cube  $(\mathbb{D}^c)$  of weight  $c$  and the Tychonoff cube  $(\mathbb{I}^c)$  of weight  $c$ .

## 2 Notation and terminology

Throughout this paper  $X$  will denote a topological space and  $\tau(X)$  the topology on  $X$ . Our notation and terminology are mainly as in [7] (for general topological notions), [2], [10], [11] (for cardinal functions) and [12] (for H-closed spaces and H-closed extensions).

Here are a few basic definitions:

- $[X]^{\leq \kappa} := \{A \subseteq X : |A| \leq \kappa\}$  denotes the *family of subsets of  $X$  which have cardinality less than or equal to  $\kappa$* .
- $cl_\theta(A) := \{x \in X : \overline{U} \cap A \neq \emptyset \text{ whenever, } x \in U \in \tau(X)\}$  is called the  *$\theta$ -closure of  $A$* ; if  $A = cl_\theta(A)$  then  $A$  is said to be  *$\theta$ -closed*; when more than one space  $X$  is involved, we will denote  $cl_\theta(A)$  by  $cl_{\theta,X}(A)$  to prevent any possibility of confusion.
- The *semiregularization* of a space  $X$ , denoted by  $X_s$  (or  $X(s)$ ), is the set  $X$  with the topology generated by the family  $RO(X) = \{U \in \tau(X) : U = int_X(cl_X(U))\}$  of regular open sets of  $X$  (if  $X_s = X$ , then  $X$  is called *semiregular*). Clearly, *every  $T_3$ -space  $X$  is semiregular* (the converse is not true).
- A function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is  *$\theta$ -continuous* if for each  $x \in X$  and open neighborhood  $V$  of  $f(x)$ , there is an open neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$  such that  $f(cl_X(U)) \subseteq cl_Y(V)$ . It easy to see that *every continuous function is  $\theta$ -continuous* (the converse is not true).
- A surjection  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is *irreducible* if for each closed set  $A \subseteq X$ , if  $A \neq X$ , then  $f(A) \neq Y$ . Equivalently,  $f$  is *irreducible* iff for each nonempty open set  $U \in \tau(X)$ , there is  $y \in Y$  such that  $f^\leftarrow(y) \subseteq U$ .
- ([12], §2.3) A nonempty family  $\mathcal{F}$  of nonempty open subsets of  $X$  is an *open filter base* if for every  $F_1, F_2 \in \mathcal{F}$ , then there exists  $F_3 \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $F_3 \subseteq F_1 \cap F_2$ . An open filter base  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $X$  is an *open filter* if for each  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  and for any  $U \in \tau(X)$  such that  $F \subseteq U$ , then  $U \in \mathcal{F}$ . Denote by  $OF(X)$  the lattice of all open filters on  $X$  when partially ordered by inclusion. An open filter  $\mathcal{U}$  on  $X$  is an *open ultrafilter* if it is the maximal element of  $OF(X)$ , i.e. - in symbol - if for each  $\mathcal{F} \in OF(X)$  such that  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ , then  $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{F}$ .  
If  $\mathcal{F}$  is an open filter on  $X$ , the set  $a_X \mathcal{F} = \bigcap_{F \in \mathcal{F}} cl_X(F)$  is called the *adherence of  $\mathcal{F}$* . If  $a_X \mathcal{F} \neq \emptyset$ ,  $\mathcal{F}$  is said to be *fixed*; otherwise  $\mathcal{F}$  is called *free*.
- A Hausdorff space  $X$  is *H-closed* if  $X$  is closed in every Hausdorff space containing  $X$  as a subspace. Equivalently,  $X$  is *H-closed* if every open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $X$  has a finite subfamily  $\mathcal{V}$  whose union is dense in

$X$  (i.e.  $X \subseteq cl_X(\bigcup_{V \in \mathcal{V}} V)$ ). H-closed spaces have many of the same properties as compact Hausdorff spaces. H-closed spaces have been studied by Katětov, Fomin, Tychonoff, Stone and Bourbaki. We need this well-known result (see in [12]):

*$X$  is H-closed Urysohn iff  $X_s$  is compact Hausdorff.*

- ([12]) For a Hausdorff space  $X$ , let  $X^* = X \cup \{\mathcal{U} : \mathcal{U} \text{ is a free open ultrafilter on } X\}$ . Let  $\kappa X$  be the set  $X^*$  with the topology generated by the base  $\tau(X) \cup \{U \cup \{\mathcal{U}\} : U \in \tau(X), \mathcal{U} \in X^* \setminus X\}$ , and  $\sigma X$  be the set  $X^*$  with the topology generated by the base  $\{o(U) : U \in \tau(X)\}$  where  $o(U) = U \cup \{\mathcal{U} \in X^* \setminus X : U \in \mathcal{U}\}$ . Both spaces  $\kappa X$  and  $\sigma X$  are H-closed extensions of  $X$ .  $\kappa X$  is called the *Katětov H-closed extension of  $X$*  and  $\sigma X$  is said the *Fomin H-closed extension of  $X$* . The identity function  $id : \kappa X \rightarrow \sigma X$  is continuous. The remainder of  $\kappa X$  ( $= \kappa X \setminus X$ ) is *discrete and closed* in  $\kappa X$ , and the remainder of  $\sigma X$  ( $= \sigma X \setminus X$ ) is a *zero-dimensional subspace* of  $\sigma X$ . If  $X$  is a Tychonoff space, then  $\kappa X \geq_X \sigma X \geq_X \beta X$  where  $\beta X$  denote the Stone-Čech compactification of  $X$ . When  $X$  is Tychonoff,  $\kappa X = \beta X$  iff  $X$  is compact and  $\sigma X = \beta X$  iff every closed nowhere dense subset of  $X$  is compact. Also, we have that  $(\kappa X)_s = (\sigma X)_s = \beta X$ . If  $hX$  is an H-closed extension of  $X$  and  $f_h : \kappa X \rightarrow hX$  is a continuous function such that  $f_h|_X = id_X$ , then  $\mathcal{P}_h = \{f_h^{\leftarrow}(y) : y \in hX \setminus X\}$  is a partition of  $\kappa X \setminus X = \sigma X \setminus X$  (recall that  $\kappa X \setminus X$  and  $\sigma X \setminus X$  are the same set). In terms of  $\sigma X \setminus X$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_h$  is a partition of compact sets. Conversely, if  $\mathcal{P}$  is a partition of  $\sigma X \setminus X$  into nonempty compact sets, there is at least one H-closed extension  $hX$  of  $X$  such that  $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_h$ .

**Definition 1.** For  $x \in X$ ,

$t(x, X) := \min\{\kappa : \forall A \subset X \text{ with } x \in \overline{A} \exists B \subset A \text{ s.t. } |B| \leq \kappa \text{ and } x \in \overline{B}\}$  is called the *tightness of  $X$  at  $x$* .

$t(X) := \sup_{x \in X} \{t(x, X)\} + \omega$  is called the *tightness of  $X$* .

$t_\theta(x, X) := \min\{\kappa : \forall A \subset X \text{ with } x \in cl_\theta(A) \exists B \subset A \text{ s.t. } |B| \leq \kappa \text{ and } x \in cl_\theta(B)\}$  is called the  *$\theta$ -tightness of  $X$  at  $x$* .

$t_\theta(X) := \sup_{x \in X} \{t_\theta(x, X)\} + \omega$  is called the  *$\theta$ -tightness of  $X$* .

**Definition 2.**

A sequence  $(x_\alpha : \alpha \in \mu)$  in a space  $X$  is called a *free sequence of length  $\mu$*  if for every  $\alpha \in \mu$  we have

$$cl_X\{x_\beta : \beta < \alpha\} \cap cl_X\{x_\beta : \beta \geq \alpha\} = \emptyset.$$

A sequence  $(x_\alpha : \alpha \in \mu)$  in a space  $X$  is called a  $\theta$ -free sequence of length  $\mu$  if for every  $\alpha \in \mu$  we have

$$cl_\theta\{x_\beta : \beta < \alpha\} \cap cl_\theta\{x_\beta : \beta \geq \alpha\} = \emptyset.$$

We define:

$$F(X) := \sup\{\mu : \text{there is a free sequence of length } \mu \text{ in } X\} + \omega.$$

$$F_\theta(X) := \sup\{\mu : \text{there is a } \theta\text{-free sequence of length } \mu \text{ in } X\} + \omega.$$

Here are some basic results that we will use throughout the paper:

**Proposition 3.** Let  $X$  be a space and  $Y \subseteq X$  as subspace.

- (a)  $t_\theta(X) = t_\theta(X_s)$  and  $F_\theta(X) \leq F(X)$ ;
- (b) If  $\sigma$  is a topology in  $X$  such that  $\sigma \supseteq \tau(X)$ , then  $F(X) \leq F(X, \sigma)$  (in particular  $F(X_s) \leq F(X)$ );
- (c)  $t(Y) \leq t(X)$ ;
- (d) If  $Y$  is closed in  $X$ , then  $F(Y) \leq F(X)$ ;
- (e) If  $X$  is  $T_3$ , then  $t_\theta(X) = t(X)$  and  $F_\theta(X) = F(X)$ ;
- (f) If  $X$  is Hausdorff, then  $F(X) \leq L(X)t(X)$ .

In 1971, A.V. Arhangel'skiĭ proved the following result:

**Theorem 4.** ([1])

*If  $X$  is compact Hausdorff, then  $F(X) = t(X)$ .*

In 1993, F. Cammaroto and Lj.D. Kočinac extended one half of Arhangel'skiĭ result to H-closed spaces:

**Proposition 5.** ([3])

*If  $X$  is H-closed, then  $F_\theta(X) \leq t_\theta(X)$ .*

Also, Cammaroto and Kočinac asked if the converse of previous result is true for H-closed (or H-closed Urysohn) spaces. In this paper, we provide a positive answer to their question for H-closed and Urysohn spaces and a negative answer for any H-closed spaces.

### 3 First main result and examples

To answer Cammaroto-Kočinac's question for H-closed Urysohn spaces, we start with this straightforward result:

**Proposition 6.**

If  $A \subseteq X$  then  $cl_{\theta, X}(A) = cl_{\theta, X_s}(A)$ .

*Proof.*

Let  $x \in cl_{\theta, X}(A)$  and  $x \in U \in \tau(X)$ . Then,  $cl_X(U) \cap A \neq \emptyset$ .

Now, consider  $x \in V \in \tau(X_s) \subset \tau(X)$  and we want to show that  $cl_{X_s}(V) \cap A \neq \emptyset$ . We have  $cl_X(V) \cap A \neq \emptyset$  and  $cl_X(V) \subset cl_{X_s}(V)$  (as  $\tau(X_s) \subset \tau(X)$ ) and so we have  $cl_{X_s}(V) \cap A \neq \emptyset$  i.e.  $x \in cl_{\theta, X_s}(A)$ .

Conversely, let  $x \in cl_{\theta, X_s}(A)$  then  $x \in U \in \tau(X_s)$  such that  $cl_{X_s}(U) \cap A \neq \emptyset$ . Now we have  $cl_X(U) = cl_{X_s}(U)$  (see [12]) but  $cl_X(U) \in \tau(X_s)$  and so  $cl_{X_s}int_X cl_X(U) \cap A \neq \emptyset$ . Also, we have

$$cl_X U = cl_X int_X cl_X(U) = cl_{X_s} int_X cl_X(U) \quad (\text{see [12]}).$$

So we have  $cl_X(U) \cap A \neq \emptyset$  i.e.  $x \in cl_{\theta, X}(A)$ . □

**Proposition 7.**

For a space  $X$ , if  $X_s$  is  $T_3$ , then

$$F_\theta(X) = F_\theta(X_s) = F(X_s) \quad \text{and} \quad t_\theta(X) = t_\theta(X_s) = t(X_s).$$

*Proof.* Let  $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mu}$  be a sequence in  $X$ . By *Proposition 6*, we have that  $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mu}$  is  $\theta$ -free in  $X$  iff  $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mu}$  is  $\theta$ -free in  $X_s$ ; thus,  $F_\theta(X) = F_\theta(X_s)$ . If  $X_s$  is also  $T_3$ , then for each  $A \subseteq X_s$ ,  $cl_{X_s} A = cl_{\theta, X_s}(A)$ . It follows that  $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mu}$  is free in  $X_s$  iff  $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mu}$  is  $\theta$ -free in  $X_s$ . Thus,  $F(X_s) = F_\theta(X_s)$ . So, when  $X_s$  is  $T_3$ , we have

$$F_\theta(X) = F_\theta(X_s) = F(X_s).$$

A similar argument shows that when  $X_s$  is  $T_3$ , we have

$$t_\theta(X) = t_\theta(X_s) = t(X_s).$$

□

**Remark 8.**

Now, if  $X$  is H-closed Urysohn then  $F_\theta(X) = F(X_s)$  but also we have  $F(X_s) = t(X_s)$  as the semiregularization of  $X$  is compact Hausdorff. So, the proof of  $t_\theta(X) \leq F_\theta(X)$  is reduced to show equivalently  $t_\theta(X) \leq t(X_s)$ .

**Theorem 9.**

If  $X$  is H-closed Urysohn, then

$$t_\theta(X) = t_\theta(X_s) = t(X_s) = F_\theta(X) = F_\theta(X_s) = F(X_s).$$

*Proof.* When  $X$  is H-closed Urysohn,  $X_s$  is compact Hausdorff and so is  $T_3$ . By the arguments in the previous paragraph, we have that  $F_\theta(X) = F_\theta(X_s) = F(X_s)$  and  $t_\theta(X) = t_\theta(X_s) = t(X_s)$ . By *Theorem 4*,  $F(X_s) = t(X_s)$ . All together, we have:

$$t_\theta(X) = t_\theta(X_s) = t(X_s) = F_\theta(X) = F_\theta(X_s) = F(X_s).$$

□

**Remark 10.**

The conclusion of previous theorem answers one part of the question asked by Cammaroto and Kočinac ([3]). The two cardinal items missing in the conclusion of *Theorem 9* are the cardinal invariants  $F(X)$  and  $t(X)$ . This leads to the natural question: when  $X$  is H-closed Urysohn, what are the relationships among  $F(X)$ ,  $t(X)$ , and  $F_\theta(X) = t_\theta(X)$ ?

By *Remark 10* and *Theorem 9*, we know that for H-closed Urysohn spaces  $X$ ,  $t_\theta(X) = F_\theta(X) \leq F(X)$ . Now, using six examples, we show that all possible relations of  $t(X)$  with  $t_\theta(X) = F_\theta(X) \leq F(X)$  are possible for H-closed Urysohn spaces.

**Example 11.**

In this example, we construct an H-closed Urysohn space  $X$  for which  $t(X) > F(X) = F_\theta(X) = t_\theta(X)$ . We start with  $\mathbb{I} = [0, 1]$  with  $\tau(\mathbb{I})$  being the usual topology and let the underlying set of  $X$  be  $[0, 1]$  with this finer topology:

$$\tau(X) \text{ is generated by } \{U \setminus C : U \in \tau(\mathbb{I}), C \in [\mathbb{I}]^{\leq \omega}\}.$$

As  $\tau(\mathbb{I}) \subseteq \tau(X)$  and  $\mathbb{I}$  is  $T_3$ , it follows that  $\tau(\mathbb{I}) \subseteq \tau(X_s)$ . For  $U \setminus C \in \tau(X)$ , note that  $cl_X(U \setminus C) = cl_{\mathbb{I}}(U)$  (as  $int_X cl_X(U \setminus C) = int_{\mathbb{I}} cl_{\mathbb{I}}(U) \in \tau(\mathbb{I})$ ). Then, we have  $RO(X) \subseteq \tau(\mathbb{I})$  and  $\tau(X_s) \subseteq \tau(\mathbb{I})$ . Thus,  $\tau(X_s) = \tau(\mathbb{I})$  or  $X_s = \mathbb{I}$ ; this shows that  $X_s$  is compact Hausdorff and thus  $X$  is H-closed Urysohn.

By *Remark 10*,  $t_\theta(X) \leq t(X)$ . By *Theorem 9*,  $t_\theta(X) = t_\theta(X_s) = t(X_s)$ . As  $X_s = \mathbb{I}$  and  $t(\mathbb{I}) = \omega$ , we have that  $\omega \leq t(X)$ . For  $A = (0, 1]$ ,  $cl_X(A) = [0, 1]$  and  $0 \in cl_X(A) \setminus A$ . Let  $B \in [A]^{\leq \omega}$ . Then  $x \in [0, 1] \setminus B \in \tau(X)$  and  $([0, 1] \setminus B) \cap B = \emptyset$ . So,  $cl_X(B) = B$  and  $0 \notin cl_X(B)$ . This shows that  $t(X) \geq \omega_1$ . Let  $A \subseteq X$  and  $p \in cl_X(A) \setminus A$ . Then  $A$  is uncountable and for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\left(p - \frac{1}{n}, p + \frac{1}{n}\right) \cap A$  is uncountable, too. We can find a

$B \in [A]^{\leq \omega_1}$  such that  $\left(p - \frac{1}{n}, p + \frac{1}{n}\right) \cap B$  has size  $\omega_1$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence for  $p \in U \setminus C \in \tau(X)$ ,  $(U \setminus C) \cap B \neq \emptyset$ . This shows that  $t(X) = \omega_1$ .

Thus  $t_\theta(X) = \omega < \omega_1 = t(X)$ .

By *Remark 10*,  $F_\theta(X) \leq F(X)$ . By *Theorem 9*,  $F_\theta(X) = F_\theta(X_s) = F(X_s)$ . As  $X_s = \mathbb{I}$  and  $F(\mathbb{I}) = \omega$ , we have that  $F_\theta(X) = \omega \leq F(X)$ .

Assume there is a free sequence  $B = \{b_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$  in  $X$ ; so, for each  $\beta < \omega_1$

$$cl_X\{b_\alpha : \alpha \leq \beta\} \cap cl_X\{b_\alpha : \alpha > \beta\} = \emptyset.$$

Now,  $B \subseteq X$  and  $|B| = \omega_1$ , and  $B$  is a Lindelöf subspace of  $\mathbb{I}$ .

Now, we want to show the following result:

*Claim.* There is a point  $b \in B$  such that if  $b \in U \in \tau(\mathbb{I})$  then  $|U \cap B| = \omega_1$  ( $b$  is a complete accumulation point).

*Proof.* Assume the contrary: for each  $p \in B$ , there exists  $U_p \in \tau(\mathbb{I})$  such that  $p \in U_p$  and  $|U_p \cap B| \leq \omega$ . The open cover  $\{U_p\}_{p \in B}$  of  $B$  has a countable subcover and there is a countable subset  $A \subset B$  such that  $B \subseteq \bigcup_{p \in A} U_p$ . Then  $B = \bigcup_{p \in A} (U_p \cap B)$  and, because  $A$  and  $U_p \cap B$  are countable,  $B$  countable. But this is not possible as  $B$  is uncountable.  $\square$

By the Claim, there is a  $\beta < \omega_1$  with the property that when  $b_\beta \in U \in \tau([0, 1])$ ,  $|U \cap B| = \omega_1$ . As  $B$  is a free sequence we have  $b_\beta \notin cl_X\{b_\alpha : \alpha > \beta\}$  and this means that there is a  $U \in \tau([0, 1])$  and countable set  $C$  such that  $b_\beta \in U \setminus C$  and  $U \setminus C \cap \{b_\alpha : \alpha > \beta\} = \emptyset$ . But  $|U \cap B| = \omega_1$  and so  $|U \cap \{b_\alpha : \alpha > \beta\}| = \omega_1$ . Since  $C$  is countable we have  $|(U \setminus C) \cap \{b_\alpha : \alpha > \beta\}| = \omega_1$ . This contradicts that  $B$  is a free sequence and completes the proof that  $F_\theta(X) = F(X) = \omega$ .

### Example 12.

In this example, we start with the H-closed Urysohn space  $\kappa\omega$  where  $(\kappa\omega)_s = \beta\omega$  (see 4.8 in [12] for details). By 7.22 in [10],  $t(\beta\omega) = \mathfrak{c}$ . By *Proposition 6* and *Remark 10*,  $F(\kappa\omega) \geq F_\theta(\kappa\omega) = t_\theta(\kappa\omega) = \mathfrak{c}$ . Now,  $\kappa\omega \setminus \omega$  is closed and discrete, and  $|\kappa\omega \setminus \omega| = 2^\mathfrak{c}$ . Thus,  $2^\mathfrak{c} \leq F(\kappa\omega) \leq |\kappa\omega| = 2^\mathfrak{c}$ . This shows that  $F(\kappa\omega) = 2^\mathfrak{c}$ . Let  $A \subseteq \kappa\omega$  and  $p \in cl_{\kappa\omega} A \setminus A$ . Note that  $cl_{\kappa\omega} A = cl_{\kappa\omega}(A \cap \omega) \cup cl_{\kappa\omega}(A \setminus \omega) = cl_{\kappa\omega}(A \cap \omega) \cup A \setminus \omega$ . But  $|A \cap \omega| = \omega$  and it follows that  $t(\kappa\omega) = \omega$ . So, we have  $F(\kappa\omega) > F_\theta(\kappa\omega) = t_\theta(\kappa\omega) > t(\kappa\omega)$ . Note that  $F(\kappa\omega) = 2^\mathfrak{c}$  and  $F_\theta(\kappa\omega) = t_\theta(\kappa\omega) = \mathfrak{c}$  adds to list of properties of  $\kappa\omega$  started by Hodel (see 7.22 in [10] for details).

Let  $\mathbb{D}$  denoted the set  $\{0, 1\}$  with the discrete topology and  $\mathbb{I}$  denote the set  $[0, 1]$  with the usual topology.

For a cardinal  $\kappa$ , the *Cantor cube of weight  $\kappa$*  is the product space  $\mathbb{D}^\kappa$  and the *Tychonoff cube of weight  $\kappa$*  is the product space  $\mathbb{I}^\kappa$ .

**Proposition 13.** Let  $\kappa$  be a cardinal. Then

- (a)  $t(\mathbb{D}^\kappa) = s(\mathbb{D}^\kappa) = F(\mathbb{D}^\kappa) = \kappa$  and  $t(\mathbb{I}^\kappa) = s(\mathbb{I}^\kappa) = F(\mathbb{I}^\kappa) = \kappa$ ;
- (b) Let  $Y = \kappa \cup \{\kappa\} (= \kappa + 1)$  with the order topology.  
Then  $|Y| = w(Y) = t(Y) = F(Y) = \kappa$ .

*Proof.*

(a) By 11.8 in [10],  $t(\mathbb{D}^\kappa) = s(\mathbb{D}^\kappa) = F(\mathbb{D}^\kappa) = \kappa$ . Using that  $\mathbb{D}^\kappa \subseteq \mathbb{I}^\kappa$  and that the cardinal functions  $s$  and  $t$  are monotonic, and that  $F$  is monotonic for closed subsets, we have  $t(\mathbb{D}^\kappa) \leq t(\mathbb{I}^\kappa)$ ,  $F(\mathbb{D}^\kappa) \leq F(\mathbb{I}^\kappa)$  and  $s(\mathbb{D}^\kappa) \leq s(\mathbb{I}^\kappa)$ . Let  $f : \mathbb{D}^\kappa \rightarrow \mathbb{I}^\kappa$  be a continuous surjection and  $A = \{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mu}$  a free sequence in  $\mathbb{I}^\kappa$ . For each  $\alpha < \mu$ , let  $p_\alpha \in f^{-1}(x_\alpha)$  and let  $B = \{p_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mu}$ . For  $\beta < \mu$  let  $A_\beta = \{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \leq \beta}$  and  $B_\beta = \{p_\alpha\}_{\alpha \leq \beta}$ . We have that  $f[cl_{\mathbb{D}^\kappa}(B_\beta)] \subseteq cl_{\mathbb{I}^\kappa}(A_\beta)$  and  $f[cl_{\mathbb{D}^\kappa}(B \setminus B_\beta)] \subseteq cl_{\mathbb{I}^\kappa}(A \setminus A_\beta)$ . As  $cl_{\mathbb{I}^\kappa}(A_\beta) \cap cl_{\mathbb{I}^\kappa}(A \setminus A_\beta) = \emptyset$ ,  $cl_{\mathbb{D}^\kappa}(B_\beta) \cap cl_{\mathbb{D}^\kappa}(B \setminus B_\beta) = \emptyset$ . So  $B_\beta$  is a free-sequence in  $\mathbb{D}^\kappa$  and it follows that  $F(\mathbb{I}^\kappa) \leq F(\mathbb{D}^\kappa) = \kappa$ . Also, because  $\mathbb{D}^\kappa \subseteq \mathbb{I}^\kappa$  we have  $\kappa = F(\mathbb{D}^\kappa) \leq F(\mathbb{I}^\kappa)$  and thus  $\kappa = F(\mathbb{I}^\kappa)$ . By compactness of  $\mathbb{I}^\kappa$  and *Theorem 4*, we have that  $t(\mathbb{I}^\kappa) = F(\mathbb{I}^\kappa) = \kappa$ . Again, using a continuous surjection  $f : \mathbb{D}^\kappa \rightarrow \mathbb{I}^\kappa$ , a discrete subset  $A$  of  $\mathbb{I}^\kappa$ , and  $B$  a subset of  $\mathbb{D}^\kappa$  such that  $f|_B : B \rightarrow A$  is a bijection, it follows as above that  $B$  is a discrete subset of  $\mathbb{D}^\kappa$ .

This shows that  $s(\mathbb{I}^\kappa) \leq s(\mathbb{D}^\kappa) = \kappa$ . Combining this with inequality  $s(\mathbb{D}^\kappa) \leq s(\mathbb{I}^\kappa)$ , we have that  $s(\mathbb{I}^\kappa) = \kappa$ .

(b) Let  $Y = \kappa \cup \{\kappa\} (= \kappa + 1)$  with the order topology. It is well-known that  $Y$  is compact Hausdorff and that  $|Y| = w(Y) = \kappa$ . By *Theorem 4*,  $F(Y) = t(Y) = \kappa$ .  $\square$

**Example 14.**

For the space  $\mathbb{I}$  (usual topology on  $[0, 1]$ ), we have that  $F_\theta(\mathbb{I}) = F(\mathbb{I}) = t_\theta(\mathbb{I}) = t(\mathbb{I}) = \omega$ .

**Example 15.**

Suppose  $[\neg\text{CH}]$  (i.e.  $\omega < \omega_1 < \mathfrak{c}$ ).

Consider the space  $X = [0, 1]$  equipped with the topology generated by  $\{U \setminus C : U \in \tau(\mathbb{I}) \text{ and } C \in [\mathbb{I}]^{\leq \omega_1}\}$ . The space  $X$  is H-closed Urysohn (as  $X_s = \mathbb{I}$  is compact Hausdorff). Moreover,  $F_\theta(X) = t_\theta(X) = \omega$  and  $t(X) = \omega_2$ . To find  $F(X)$ , we will compute  $s(X)$ . From the definition of the topology, note that  $s(X) \geq \omega_1$ . To show that  $s(X) \leq \omega_1$ , assume there is a subset  $A \subseteq [0, 1]$  such that  $|A| \geq \omega_2$  and  $A$  is a discrete subset of  $X$ . Then for each  $a \in A$ , there is an open interval  $(r_a, s_a)$  (with  $r_a, s_a \in \mathbb{Q}$ ) and  $C_a \in [0, 1]^{\leq \omega_1}$  such that for  $V_a = (r_a, s_a) \setminus C_a$ ,  $V_a \cap A = \{a\}$ . Consider the function  $f : A \rightarrow (\mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}) \cap (\mathbb{I} \times \mathbb{I})$  defined by  $f(a) = (r_a, s_a)$ . There is  $(r, s) \in \mathbb{Q}$  such

that  $|f^\leftarrow[(r, s)]| = \omega_2$ . Let  $B = f^\leftarrow[(r, s)]$  and there is  $b \in B \setminus C_a$  as  $|B| = \omega_2$  and  $|C_a| \leq \omega_1$ . Thus,  $b \in (r_b, s_b) \setminus C_a = (r, s) \setminus C_a = (r_a, s_a) \setminus C_a = V_a$ , a contradiction. Thus,  $s(X) \leq \omega_1$ . Then,  $F(X) \leq s(X) = \omega_1$ . Let  $A = \{x_\alpha : \alpha \in \omega_1\}$  be a subset of  $X$  such that  $|A| = \omega_1$ . As  $A$  is closed and discrete,  $A$  is a free sequence in  $X$  and  $\omega_1 \leq F(X)$ .

In order to construct the last two examples, we present this following result from Walker's text:

**Proposition 16.** ([16] - 6.15)

Let  $K$  be a compact space such that  $w(K) \leq \omega_1$ . Then,  $K$  is the remainder of  $\omega$  in some compactification.

**Example 17.**

Let  $K$  be the compact space  $\omega_1 + 1$ ; by *Proposition 13*  $w(K) = \omega_1$ . By *Proposition 16*, there is a compactification  $\gamma\omega$  of  $\omega$  such that  $\gamma\omega \setminus \omega = \omega_1 + 1$ . By *Proposition 13* and *Theorem 9*,  $F(\gamma\omega) = F_\theta(\gamma\omega) = t(\gamma\omega) = t_\theta(\gamma\omega) = \omega_1$ . Let  $h\omega = \gamma\omega$  with topology generated by  $\tau(\gamma\omega) \cup \{\omega \cup \{\omega_1\}\}$ .

So,  $h\omega$  is an H-closed extension of  $\omega$  and  $(h\omega)_s = \gamma\omega$ .

Note that the set  $\omega_1$  is a closed discrete subspace of  $h\omega$ . Thus,  $F(h\omega) = \omega_1$ . Also, note that  $h\omega \setminus \{\omega_1\}$  is a subspace of  $\gamma\omega$  and is first countable. If  $A \subseteq h\omega$  and  $\omega_1 \in cl_{h\omega}(A)$ , then  $\omega_1 \in cl_{\gamma\omega}(A \cap \omega_1)$ . This shows that  $t(h\omega) = \omega$ . By *Proposition 13* and *Theorem 9*,  $F_\theta(h\omega) = F(\gamma\omega) = t(\gamma\omega) = t_\theta(h\omega) = \omega_1$ .

We need this consequence of the first result in Dow-Porter's paper:

**Proposition 18.** ([5] - 0.1)

If  $D$  is an infinite discrete space and  $\gamma D$  is a compactification of  $D$  (in particular,  $\gamma D \setminus D = K$  is a compact space and if  $L$  is a space with  $K$  as the underlying set and  $\tau(L) \supseteq \tau(K)$ ), there is an H-closed extension  $hD$  of  $D$  such that  $hD \setminus D = L$  and  $(hD)_s = \gamma D$ .

In addition to *Proposition 16* and *18*, we will need the following additional properties of  $\mathbb{D}^{\omega_1}$ :

**Proposition 19.** [Assume  $\omega_2 < 2^{\omega_1}$ ]

- (a) If  $\emptyset \neq U \in \tau(\mathbb{D}^{\omega_1})$ , then  $|U| = 2^{\omega_1}$ ;
- (b) There are disjoint sets  $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{D}^{\omega_1}$  such that if  $\emptyset \neq U \in \tau(\mathbb{D}^{\omega_1})$ ,  $|U \cap A| \geq \omega_2$ ,  $|U \cap B| \geq \omega_2$ , both  $A$  and  $B$  have subspaces homeomorphic to  $\omega_1 + 1$ , and  $|A| = 2^{\omega_1}$ .

*Proof.*

- (a) This follows from noting that a basic open set of  $\mathbb{D}^{\omega_1}$  has the form

$V = V_{\alpha_1} \times \dots \times V_{\alpha_n} \times \prod_{\omega_1 \setminus \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}} \mathbb{D}$  where  $\emptyset \neq V_{\alpha_i} \subseteq \{0, 1\}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $|V| = 2^{\omega_1}$ .

(b) As  $w(\mathbb{D}^{\omega_1}) = \omega_1$ , let  $\mathcal{B} = \{V_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$  be an open base for  $\mathbb{D}^{\omega_1}$ . By (a),  $|U| = 2^{\omega_1}$  for each  $U \in \mathcal{B}$ . Let  $A' \subseteq \{0\} \times \prod_{\omega_1 \setminus \{0\}} \mathbb{D}$  and  $B' \subseteq \{1\} \times \prod_{\omega_1 \setminus \{1\}} \mathbb{D}$  such that both  $A'$  and  $B'$  are homeomorphic to  $\omega_1 + 1$ . We inductively define  $A_\alpha$  and  $B_\alpha$  for  $\alpha < \omega_1$  such that  $A_\alpha \cup B_\alpha \subseteq V_\alpha \setminus (A' \cup B')$ ,  $A_\alpha \cap B_\beta = \emptyset$ ,  $A_\alpha \cap A_\beta = \emptyset$  and  $B_\alpha \cap B_\beta = \emptyset$  for  $\alpha, \beta < \omega_1$ ; moreover,  $|A_\alpha| = |B_\alpha| = \omega_2$ . Let  $B = B' \cup \bigcup_{\alpha < \omega_1} B_\alpha$  and  $A = \mathbb{D}^{\omega_1} \setminus B$ . In particular,  $A' \cup \bigcup_{\alpha < \omega_1} A_\alpha \subseteq A$  and  $|A| = 2^{\omega_1}$ .  $\square$

Now, we are ready to present this last example:

**Example 20.**

Suppose  $[\neg \mathbf{GCH}]$  (in particular:  $\omega_1 < \omega_2 < 2^{\omega_1}$ ).

By *Proposition 16*, let  $\delta\omega$  be a compactification of  $\omega$  such that  $\delta\omega \setminus \omega = \mathbb{D}^{\omega_1}$ . Let  $L$  be space whose underlying set is  $\{0, 1\}^{\omega_1}$  and with the topology generated by

$$\{\{p\} : p \in A\} \cup \{(U \cap B) \setminus C : U \in \tau(\mathbb{D}^{\omega_1}), C \in [B]^{\leq \omega_1}\},$$

where  $A$  and  $B$  are defined in *Proposition 19(b)*. By *Proposition 18*, there is H-closed extension  $h\omega$  of  $\omega$  such that  $h\omega \setminus \omega = L$  and  $(h\omega)_s = \delta\omega$ . As  $A$  is a closed, discrete subset of  $h\omega$ ,  $F(h\omega) = 2^{\omega_1}$ . For  $p \in A$ , as  $\omega \cup \{p\}$  is a neighborhood of  $p$  in  $h\omega$ ,  $t(p, h\omega) = \omega$ . By definition of the topology on  $L$ , for  $p \in B$ ,  $t(p, h\omega) \geq \omega_2$ . Suppose  $E \subseteq h\omega$ ,  $p \in cl_{h\omega}(E) \cap B$ , and  $|E| \geq \omega_2$ . Then  $p \in cl_{h\omega}((E \cap B) \setminus \omega)$ . Also,  $|(E \cap B) \setminus \omega| \geq \omega_2$ . Let  $\mathcal{B}_p$  be an open base at  $p$  in  $\mathbb{D}^{\omega_1}$  such that  $|\mathcal{B}_p| = \omega_1$ . For each  $U \in \mathcal{B}_p$ ,  $|U \cap ((E \cap B) \setminus \omega)| \geq \omega_2$ . Let  $E_U \subseteq U \cap ((E \cap B) \setminus \omega)$  such that  $|E_U| = \omega_2$ . let  $F = \bigcup_{U \in \mathcal{B}_p} E_U$ . Then,  $F \subseteq (E \cap B) \setminus \omega$ ,  $|F| = \omega_2$ , and  $p \in cl_{h\omega}(F)$ . Thus,  $t(p, h\omega) = \omega_2$ . This shows that  $t(h\omega) = \omega_2$ . By *Theorem 9*,  $F_\theta(h\omega) = F(\delta\omega) = F(\mathbb{D}^{\omega_1}) = \omega_1 = t_\theta(h\omega) = t(\delta\omega) = t(\mathbb{D}^{\omega_1})$ .

For convenience we present the complete list of examples we have developed to study complete relations among the cardinal functions  $t$ ,  $t_\theta$ ,  $F$  and  $F_\theta$  in the context of H-closed Urysohn spaces:

**Conclusion A.** Let  $X$  be H-closed Urysohn.

By *Remark 10*, we note that  $F(X) \geq F_\theta(X) = t_\theta(X)$ ;

In *Example 11*, we show for some  $X$ :  $t(X) > F(X) = F_\theta(X) = t_\theta(X)$ ;

In *Example 12*, we show for some  $X$ :  $F(X) > F_\theta(X) = t_\theta(X) > t(X)$ ;

In *Example 14*, we show for some  $X$ :  $F_\theta(X) = F(X) = t_\theta(X) = t(X)$ ;

In *Example 15*, we show for some  $X$ :  $t(X) > F(X) > F_\theta(X) = t_\theta(X)$ ;

In *Example 17*, we show for some  $X$ :  $F(X) = F_\theta(X) = t_\theta(X) > t(X)$ ;

In *Example 20*, we show for some  $X$ :  $F(X) > t(X) > F_\theta(X) = t_\theta(X)$ .

## 4 Second main result

In this section we will construct an example of H-closed space  $H$  such that  $F_\theta(H) < t_\theta(H)$ . This example provide a solution to the second question raised by Cammaroto and Kočinac in [3].

**Lemma 21.** ([12]) Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be spaces,  $A \subseteq X$  and  $f : X \rightarrow Y$   $\theta$ -continuous. Then,  $f(cl_\theta(A)) \subseteq cl_\theta(f(A))$ .

**Lemma 22.** ([12] - 7.4)

Let  $X$  be a space and  $\mathcal{P}$  be a partition of nonempty compact subsets of  $\sigma X \setminus X$ .

- (a) There is an H-closed extension  $hX$  of  $X$  and a  $\theta$ -continuous, perfect, irreducible surjection  $f : \sigma X \rightarrow hX$  such that  $\mathcal{P} = \{f^\leftarrow(y) : y \in hX \setminus X\}$ ;
- (b) For each  $K \in \mathcal{P}$ , let  $\mathcal{F}_K = \bigcap K$  ( $K$  is a collection of free open ultrafilters on  $X$ ). Then,  $\mathcal{F}_K$  is a free open filter on  $X$ ;
- (c) The topology on  $hX$  is generated by  $\{\{f(K)\} \cup U : K \in \mathcal{P}, U \in \mathcal{F}_K\} \cup \tau(X)$ .

**Remark 23.**

The H-closed extension  $hX$  constructed in previous lemma may not be Urysohn.

**Lemma 24.** ([14] - 3.34)

There is a point  $p \in \omega^*(= \beta\omega \setminus \omega)$  and open set  $U \in \tau(\beta\omega)$  such that  $p \in cl_{\beta\omega}(U) \setminus U$  and whenever  $E \in [U]^{<\mathfrak{c}}$ ,  $p \notin cl_{\beta\omega}(E)$ .

**Remark 25.**

For the remainder of this section,  $p$  will be used to denote point of  $\omega^*$  described in previous lemma. As  $w(\beta\omega) = \mathfrak{c}$ , there is an open neighborhood

base  $\mathcal{B}_p$  of  $p$  such that  $|\mathcal{B}_p| \leq \mathfrak{c}$ . For each  $B \in \mathcal{B}_p$ , let  $c_B \in U \cap B$  and  $C = \{c_B : B \in \mathcal{B}_p\}$ . Note that  $p \in cl_{\beta\omega}(C)$  and for  $E \in [C]^{<\mathfrak{c}}$ ,  $p \notin cl_{\beta\omega}(E)$ . This is a direct proof that  $t(\beta\omega) \geq \mathfrak{c}$ . As  $w(\beta\omega) = \mathfrak{c}$ , we have that  $t(\beta\omega) = \mathfrak{c}$ . Let  $C' = C \cup \{p\}$ .

**Lemma 26.** ([9] - 9H.2)

If  $A \in [\beta\omega]^{\geq\omega}$ , then  $|cl_{\beta\omega}(A)| = 2^{\mathfrak{c}}$ .

The H-closed space  $H$  we will construct is of the form  $h\omega$  - an H-closed extension of  $\omega$ . Recall that  $\sigma\omega = \beta\omega$  (see 7B(5) in [12]).

Construction of  $H = h\omega$ :

As  $|[\omega^*]^\omega| = 2^{\mathfrak{c}}$ , let  $[\omega^*]^\omega = \{S_\alpha : \alpha < 2^{\mathfrak{c}}\}$ . There is a bijection  $g : \{(\alpha, \beta) \in 2^{\mathfrak{c}} \times 2^{\mathfrak{c}} : \alpha < \beta\} \rightarrow 2^{\mathfrak{c}}$ . Inductively define for each  $\gamma \in 2^{\mathfrak{c}}$ , a pair  $\{a_\gamma, b_\gamma\} \in [\omega^*]^2$  such that  $a_\gamma \in cl_{\beta\omega}(S_\alpha) \setminus C'$  and  $b_\gamma \in cl_{\beta\omega}(S_\beta) \setminus C'$  where  $g(\alpha, \beta) = \gamma$ ,  $\{a_\gamma, b_\gamma\} \cap \{a_\delta, b_\delta\} = \emptyset$  for  $\delta \neq \gamma < 2^{\mathfrak{c}}$ , and  $a_\gamma \neq b_\gamma$ . Consider this partition  $\mathcal{P}$  of  $\omega^*$ :

$$\mathcal{P} = \{\{a_\gamma, b_\gamma\} : \gamma < 2^{\mathfrak{c}}\} \cup \left\{ \{x\} : x \in \omega^* \setminus \bigcup \{\{a_\gamma, b_\gamma\} : \gamma < 2^{\mathfrak{c}}\} \right\}.$$

By Lemma 22(a), there is an H-closed extension  $h\omega$  of  $\omega$  and a  $\theta$ -continuous surjection  $f : \beta\omega \rightarrow h\omega$  such that  $f(n) = n$  for  $n \in \omega$  and  $\mathcal{P} = \{f^\leftarrow(y) : y \in h\omega \setminus \omega\}$ . The topology on  $h\omega$  is generated by

$$\{\{y\} \cup U : U \in \bigcap f^\leftarrow(y), y \in h\omega \setminus \omega\} \cup \{\{n\} : n \in \omega\}.$$

For  $y \in h\omega \setminus \omega$ , there are two cases for basic open sets of  $h\omega$ .

If  $f^\leftarrow(y) = \{q, r\}$ , where  $q, r$  are free open ultrafilters on  $\omega$ , then for  $U \in q \cap r$ ,  $\{y\} \cup U$  is a basic open neighborhood of  $y$ .

Otherwise, if  $f^\leftarrow(y) = \{q\}$ , for  $U \in q$ ,  $\{q\} \cup U$  is a basic open neighborhood of  $y$  in  $h\omega$  (note that we identify  $q$  and  $y$  in the second case).

For  $U \subseteq \omega$ ,  $cl_{h\omega}(U) = U \cup \{y \in h\omega \setminus \omega : U \cap V \neq \emptyset \text{ for } V \in \bigcap f^\leftarrow(y)\}$ .

If  $f^\leftarrow(y) = \{q\}$  and  $U \cap V \neq \emptyset$  for all  $V \in q$ , then  $U \in q$ . If  $f^\leftarrow(y) = \{q, r\}$  and  $U \cap V \neq \emptyset$  for all  $V \in q \cap r$  and if  $U \notin r$ , then  $\omega \setminus U \in r$ . Thus, for all  $W \in q$ ,  $V = W \cup (\omega \setminus U) \in q \cap r$  and, moreover,  $\emptyset \neq U \cap V = V \cap W$ . That is,  $U \in q$ . This shows, by combining both case, that  $f(cl_{\beta\omega}(U)) = cl_{h\omega}(U)$ .

Properties of  $H = h\omega$ :

- (a)  $|h\omega| = 2^{\mathfrak{c}}$ ;
- (b)  $t(h\omega) = d(h\omega) = \omega$ ;

- (c)  $h\omega \setminus \omega$  is a closed discrete subset of  $h\omega$ ;
- (d)  $F(h\omega) = e(h\omega) = s(h\omega) = 2^{\mathfrak{c}}$ ;
- (e) If  $C$  and  $D$  are two disjoint infinite sets, then  $cl_{\theta}(C) \cap cl_{\theta}(D) \neq \emptyset$ ;
- (f)  $F_{\theta}(h\omega) = \omega$ ;
- (g)  $t_{\theta}(h\omega) = \chi(h\omega) = \mathfrak{c}$ .

*Proof.* The proof of (a), (b) and (c) are immediate. The statement (d) follows from (c) and (f) follows from (e).

(e) Let  $C$  and  $D$  be disjoint infinite subsets of  $h\omega$ . Then,  $f^{\leftarrow}(C) \cap f^{\leftarrow}(D) = \emptyset$ . For some  $\alpha, \beta < 2^{\mathfrak{c}}$ ,  $cl_{\beta\omega}(S_{\alpha}) \subseteq cl_{\beta\omega}f^{\leftarrow}(C)$  and  $cl_{\beta\omega}(S_{\beta}) \subseteq cl_{\beta\omega}f^{\leftarrow}(D)$  (we can assume that  $\alpha < \beta$ ). Let  $g(\alpha, \beta) = \gamma$ ; then,  $\{a_{\alpha}, b_{\alpha}\} \in \mathcal{P}$  and  $f(a_{\alpha}) = f(b_{\alpha}) = y$ . But  $f(a_{\alpha}) \in f(cl_{\beta\omega}(S_{\alpha})) \subseteq f(cl_{\beta\omega}f^{\leftarrow}(C)) \subseteq cl_{\theta}(ff^{\leftarrow}(C)) = cl_{\theta}(C)$ . Likewise,  $f(b_{\alpha}) \in cl_{\theta}(D)$ . That is,  $y \in cl_{\theta}(C) \cap cl_{\theta}(D)$ .

(g) Clearly,  $\chi(h\omega) \leq \mathfrak{c}$ . This shows that  $t_{\theta}(h\omega) \leq \mathfrak{c}$ . To show that  $t_{\theta}(h\omega) \geq \mathfrak{c}$ , we will use  $C' = C \cup \{p\}$  (defined in *Remark 25*).

By the definition of  $h\omega$ ,  $\{p\} \in \mathcal{P}$  and  $\{c\} \in \mathcal{P}$  for all  $c \in C$ . That is,  $f(p) = p$  and  $f(c) = c$  for  $c \in C$ . If  $U \in p$ ,  $cl_{h\omega}(U) = f(cl_{\beta\omega}(U))$ . Now,  $p \in cl_{\beta\omega}(U)$ , so  $cl_{h\omega}(\{p\} \cup U) = cl_{h\omega}(U) = f(cl_{\beta\omega}(U))$ . Also,  $cl_{h\omega}(\{p\} \cup U) = f(cl_{\beta\omega}(U)) \cap f(C) = f(cl_{\beta\omega}(U) \cap C) \neq \emptyset$ . This shows that  $p \in cl_{\theta, h\omega}(C)$ . Let  $E \in [C]^{<\mathfrak{c}}$ ; there is  $U \in p$  such that  $cl_{\beta\omega}(\{p\} \cup U) \cap E = \emptyset$ . As  $E = f^{\leftarrow}f(E)$  and  $cl_{\beta\omega}(\{p\} \cup U) \cap f^{\leftarrow}f(E) = \emptyset$  it follows that  $\emptyset = f(cl_{\beta\omega}(\{p\} \cup U)) \cap E = cl_{h\omega}(\{p\} \cup U) \cap E$ . That is,  $p \notin cl_{\theta, h\omega}(E)$ . This shows that  $t_{\theta}(p, h\omega) \geq \mathfrak{c}$ . It follows that  $\chi(p, h\omega) \geq \mathfrak{c}$  and  $\chi(h\omega) = t_{\theta}(h\omega) = \mathfrak{c}$ .  $\square$

The results of the properties of  $H = h\omega$  combine to show the following result:

**Theorem 27.**

*There is an H-closed space  $H$  such that  $\omega = F_{\theta}(H) < t_{\theta}(H) = \mathfrak{c}$ .*

**Conclusion B.** *Theorem 7.4 in [12] (our Lemma 22) is a general method for generating H-closed spaces that are extensions. The construction of the H-closed space  $H = h\omega$  in Theorem 27 indicates the potential usefulness of the technique in [12].*

### Acknowledgements

This research was supported by a grant from the C.N.R. (G.N.S.A.G.A.) and M.I.U.R. (Italy) through “Fondi 40%”.

## References

- [1] A.V. Arhangel'skiĭ, *On bicompacta hereditarily satisfying Suslin's condition. Tightness and free sequences*, **Soviet Math. Dokl.**, **12** (1971), 1253–1257.
- [2] A.V. Arhangel'skiĭ, *Structure and classification of topological spaces and cardinal invariants*, **Russian Math. Surveys**, **33** (1978), 33–96.
- [3] F. Cammaroto and Lj.D. Kočinac, *On  $\theta$ -tightness*, **Facta Universitatis (Niš)**, **Ser. Math. Inform.** **8** (1993), 77–85.
- [4] F. Cammaroto and Lj.D. Kočinac, *A note on  $\theta$ -tightness*, **Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, Ser II** **42** (1993), 129–134.
- [5] A. Dow and J.R. Porter, *Cardinalities of  $H$ -closed spaces*, **Topology Proceedings**, (7) (1982) 27–50.
- [6] *Encyclopedia of General Topology* (K.P. Hart, J. Nagata and J.E. Vaughan eds.), **Elsevier**, 2003.
- [7] R. Engelking, *General Topology*, **Heldermann-Verlag**, Berlin, 1989.
- [8] S.P. Franklin and M. Rajagopalan, *Some examples in Topology*, **Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.**, **155** (1977), 305–314.
- [9] L. Gillman and M. Jerison, *Rings of continuous functions*, **Springer-Verlag**, 1976.
- [10] R. Hodel, *Cardinal Functions I*, In: 'Handbook of Set-theoretic Topology' (K. Kunen, J.E. Vaughan, eds.), pp. 1–61, **North-Holland**, Amsterdam, 1984.
- [11] I. Juhász, *Cardinal Functions in Topology - Ten Years Later*, **Math. Centre Tracts** 123, Amsterdam, 1980.
- [12] J.R. Porter and R.G. Woods, *Extensions and absolutes of Hausdorff spaces*, **Springer-Verlag**, New York, 1988.
- [13] L.A. Steen and J.A. Seebach Jr., *Counterexamples in Topology*, **Springer-Verlag**, New York, 1978.
- [14] J. van Mill, *An introduction to  $\beta\omega$* , In: 'Handbook of Set-theoretic Topology' (K. Kunen, J.E. Vaughan, eds.), pp. 503–567, **North-Holland**, Amsterdam, 1984.
- [15] N.V. Veličko,  *$H$ -closed topological spaces*, **Amer. Math. Soc. Transl.** (2) **78** (1969), 103–118.
- [16] R.C. Walker, *The Stone-Čech compactification*, **Springer-Verlag**, 1974.

*Filippo Cammaroto*

Dipartimento di Matematica  
Università di Messina  
viale Ferdinando Stagno D'Alcontres 31  
S. Agata 98166 - Messina, Italy  
`camfil@unime.it`

*Andrei Catalioto*

Dipartimento di Matematica  
Università di Messina  
viale Ferdinando Stagno D'Alcontres 31  
S. Agata 98166 - Messina, Italy  
`acatalioto@unime.it`

*Jack Porter*

Department of Mathematics  
University of Kansas  
1460 Jayhawk Blvd. (Snow Hall)  
Lawrence, KS 66045-7594, USA  
`porter@math.ku.edu`